

Tech Bulletin: CAS-TB2-2003

IECC Commercial R-Value Compliance in 2003?

The International Energy Conservation Code (IECC) is proposing code changes for the R-Value of insulations used in commercial buildings that will affect the specification of double-wall and single-wall lined duct products. Although still in the proposal stage, several local and state codes appear to be requiring these new R-Value requirements in 2003 HVAC ductwork specifications. Following is the proposed language found in Section 803.2.8 of the IECC.

All supply and return air ducts and plenums shall be insulated with a minimum of R-5 insulation when located in unconditioned spaces and within a minimum of R-8 insulation when located outside the building [envelope]. When located within the building envelope assembly, the duct or plenum shall be separated from the building exterior or unconditioned or exempt spaces by a minimum R-8 insulation.

The majority of liner and insulating materials currently used in HVAC duct and panel

systems have a thermal conductivity (k) ranging between 0.24 and 0.26 BTU – in / (hr - sq ft - °F), resulting in the commonly specified 1-inch insulation having an R-Value of 4. The proposed R-5 would require all single-wall lined and double-wall duct systems and plenums in unconditioned spaces to have a minimum of 1-1/2 inches of the standard insulation @ k = 0.24 or 0.26, which actually achieves an R-6.

Increasing the standard insulation thickness by 1/2-inch for a typical fixed height duct installation will result in a 3- to 4-inch increase in major axis dimension. Higher aspect ratio ductwork translates to heavier gauges, more reinforcement and more supports resulting in increased material and labor costs. In-duct air is moving so quickly there is little opportunity for it to appreciably lose or gain heat, except in extreme temperature differentials, so increasing insulation thickness by 1/2-inch will have negligible thermal benefit. There

is also little appreciable acoustical benefit (see McGill AirFlow *Engineering Report 131*).

Standard 1-inch (and on occasion 2-inch) insulations have been sufficient in meeting most, if not all, the thermal and acoustical performance requirements of duct systems installed within *conditioned* spaces of commercial buildings for decades. Why change now? Application of this new code appears to be hanging on the definition of *conditioned* vs. *unconditioned* spaces. Design engineers for HVAC duct systems are cautioned not to incorporate the IECC into their duct specifications, at least until it becomes a standard.

Visit <u>www.energycodes.gov</u> for more detailed information on the IECC.

McGill AirFlow Corporation 190 East Broadway Westerville, OH 43081

614/882-5455, Fax: 614/797-2175 E-mail: mafengineering@mcgillairflow.com

Website: www.mcgillairflow.com

